

U.S.N.								
--------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

# B.M.S. College of Engineering, Bengaluru-560019

Autonomous Institute Affiliated to VTU

## January / February 2025 Semester End Main Examinations

**Programme: B.E.**

**Semester: VII**

**Branch: Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning**

**Duration: 3 hrs.**

**Course Code: 24AM7PCGAL**

**Max Marks: 100**

**Course: Generative AI with Large Language Models**

**Instructions:** 1. Answer any FIVE full questions, choosing one full question from each unit.  
2. Missing data, if any, may be suitably assumed.

UNIT - I			CO	PO	Marks
1	a)	Compare traditional autoencoders and Variational Autoencoder's (VAEs) in terms of latent space representation and data generation ability.	CO1	PO2	<b>06</b>
	b)	Explain the benefits of using Generative AI in Applications.	CO1	PO1	<b>06</b>
	c)	Given: Input (X): [0.8, 0.7, 0.6, 0.9] Encoder Weights (W <sub>e</sub> ): [[0.2, 0.1], [0.4, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.3, 0.4]] Encoder Biases (b <sub>e</sub> ): [0.05, 0.1] Decoder Weights (W <sub>d</sub> ): [[0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0.4], [0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1]] Decoder Biases (b <sub>d</sub> ): [0.1, 0.05, 0.2, 0.15]  Illustrate the encoder-decoder process of an Autoencoder by i. Constructing a latent space representation Z of the given input vector X. ii. Reconstructing the input vector 'X' using results of (i).	CO2	PO3	<b>08</b>
<b>OR</b>					
2	a)	Given mean(x)=5, mean(y)=6.1, stddev(x)=1.2, stddev(y)=0.9, random sample for x: $\epsilon_x=0.3$ , random sample for y: $\epsilon_y=0.5$ . Calculate latent space variable (z) and the reconstructed data points ( $\hat{x}$ , $\hat{y}$ ) of a VAE model.	C 2	PO3	<b>08</b>
	b)	For a VAE with latent variable $z \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , derive the gradient of the reparameterization trick $z = \mu + \sigma \cdot \epsilon$ , where $\epsilon \sim N(0,1)$ . Explain its significance in training.	CO1	PO3	<b>06</b>
	c)	Describe the key components of a Variational Autoencoder architecture and explain the role of the encoder, decoder, and latent space in data reconstruction.	CO1	PO1	<b>06</b>

**Important Note:** Completing your answers, compulsorily draw diagonal cross lines on the remaining blank pages. Revealing of identification, appeal to evaluator will be treated as malpractice.

<b>UNIT - II</b>						
3	a)	Compare the computational trade-offs of using dense versus sparse models in LLM training.	CO3	PO2	<b>06</b>	
	b)	The self-attention mechanism involves calculating the attention matrix A from a sequence of tokens. Given the following example of query and key vectors: $Q=[0.2 \ 0.4 \ 0.6]$ , $K=[0.5 \ 0.2 \ 0.3]$ Calculate the similarity score between Q and K and then compute the scaled dot-product attention score using the formula: Attention Score= $Q \cdot K^T / \sqrt{d_k}$	CO3	PO3	<b>08</b>	
	c)	Explain the importance of scaling laws in large language models and it's in the design of compute-optimal models.	CO2	PO2	<b>06</b>	
	<b>OR</b>					
4	a)	Differentiate between one-shot prompting and few-shot prompting.	CO1	PO2	<b>06</b>	
	b)	BloombergGPT is pre-trained on a financial corpus with a vocabulary size of 50,000. If each token is represented by a 512-dimensional vector, calculate the memory required to store the embeddings for the entire vocabulary.	CO2	PO3	<b>08</b>	
	c)	Apply tokenization, embedding ( $d_{model} = 4$ , row major embedding from 0.1 to 2.0) and positional encoding processes of a Transformer Model on the sentence "AI is amazing.(fullstop)" and Compute the Final Embedded Tokens matrix.	CO2	PO3	<b>06</b>	
<b>UNIT - III</b>						
5	a)	In Reinforcement Learning with Human Feedback (RLHF), given a sequence of 3 actions with rewards [8, 12, 20] and a discount factor of 0.85, calculate the discounted cumulative reward for the sequence.	CO3	PO3	<b>08</b>	
	b)	Compare and contrast In-Context fine tuning and Model fine tuning.	CO2	PO2	<b>06</b>	
	c)	Is it possible to optimize the objective function in soft prompts? Justify using required mathematical representations of the procedure.	CO2	PO1	<b>06</b>	
	<b>OR</b>					
6	a)	Calculate the total reward received over 5 iterations in RLHF, given a 20% reward decay per iteration and an initial reward of 100.	CO3	PO3	<b>08</b>	
	b)	Derive the mathematical formulation of QLoRA.	CO2	PO3	<b>06</b>	
	c)	Discuss model evaluation in fine-tuning large language models and the common benchmarks used to assess their performance.	CO2	PO1	<b>06</b>	
<b>UNIT - IV</b>						
7	a)	In Proximal Policy Optimizer (PPO), given that the reward at time step t is 3, the advantage estimate $A^t$ is 1.2, and the probability ratio $r_t(\theta)=1.5$ , calculate the value of the objective function for	CO3	PO3	<b>08</b>	

		$\epsilon=0.1$ before and after applying the clip function. Also, compare the change in the objective function if $\epsilon$ were increased to 0.5.			
	b)	Identify challenges in implementing ReAct strategies in existing systems and propose viable solutions.	CO3	PO2	<b>06</b>
	c)	Describe quantization in large language models, its impact on efficiency, and the advantages of QLORA for fine-tuning.	CO2	PO1	<b>06</b>
<b>OR</b>					
8	a)	Elaborate on the reward model construction process and associate math behind it.	CO3	PO1	<b>08</b>
	b)	Given the loss at each reasoning step $L_{\text{step}}=1/k$ for $k=5$ , calculate the total loss. Also, if the loss decreases exponentially with a decay factor of 0.8, find the total loss after 5 steps.	CO2	PO3	<b>06</b>
	c)	Explain chain-of-thought reasoning and its impact on improving model performance on complex tasks.	CO3	PO1	<b>06</b>
<b>UNIT - V</b>					
9	a)	Elaborate on the generator and the discriminator objective function of a Style Transfer Generative Adversarial Network (GAN).	CO2	PO3	<b>06</b>
	b)	Explain the concept of the minimax game in GAN training. How does this concept relate to adversarial loss?	CO2	PO1	<b>06</b>
	c)	<p>Given:</p> <p>Conditional GAN (CGAN):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discriminator Loss (<math>L_D</math>): 0.3 for the real images.</li> <li>Generator Loss (<math>L_G</math>): 0.8 for the generated images.</li> </ul> <p>Wasserstein GAN (WGAN):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discriminator Loss (<math>L_D</math>): 0.2 for the real images.</li> <li>Generator Loss (<math>L_G</math>): 0.7 for the generated images.</li> </ul> <p>i. Interpret the Discriminator Loss and Generator Loss scores of both the models in terms of training behavior for both models.</p> <p>Given that both models have 100 neurons in the first layer, and the generator in both cases has a latent vector of size 100 and a class label vector of size 3, total number of biases as 100, calculate the number of parameters in the conditional input layer for both CGAN and WGAN.</p>	CO3	PO3	<b>08</b>
<b>OR</b>					
10	a)	<p>In WGAN, the Wasserstein loss is given by <math>L_D=E[D(x)]-E[D(G(z))]</math></p> <p>Explain how the gradient penalty term is added to enforce the Lipschitz constraint.</p>	CO3	PO3	<b>08</b>
	b)	List out the key differences between DCGAN, WGAN, and CGAN regarding their architecture and training methodologies.	CO3	PO1	<b>06</b>
	c)	Illustrate GAN architecture in detail.	CO2	PO3	<b>06</b>

\*\*\*\*\*